

## **REMARKS**

### **I. Application Status**

Claims 50-78 are currently pending in the Subject Application. Claims 50, 63, 77, and 78 are independent claims. Claims 1-49 were previously canceled.

In the Office Action, claims 64-76 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 50-59, 61, 62, and 77 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-45 of United States Patent No. 6,344,271 to Yadav et al. ("the '271 patent").

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the Subject Application.

### **II. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph**

Claim 64 stands rejected as being indefinite because the claim as presented in the Response dated October 8, 2009 depended from itself. Claims 65-76 stand rejected as being indefinite because these claims depended from claim 64. As noted in the Office Action, claims 64-76 were intended to depend from independent claim 63. Accordingly, claims 64-76 are amended herein to depend from independent claim 63. The amendments do not add new matter to the Subject Application.

The previously recited dependency from claim 64 was an unintentional typographical error. Applicant respectfully submits that the present amendments to claims 64-76 fully address and overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as set forth in the Office Action.

### **III. Double Patenting Rejections**

A terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(c) is submitted concurrently with this Response to overcome the double patenting rejections set forth in the Office Action. Applicant does not acquiesce in or otherwise concede the correctness of the double patenting rejections. The terminal disclaimer is submitted solely to expedite the allowance of the Subject Application.

### **IV. Allowable Subject Matter**

In the Office Action, independent claims 63 and 78 stand allowed. Claim 60 stands objected to as depending from a rejected base claim but otherwise allowable. Claims 64-76 are also indicated as being allowable if amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, addressed above. Applicant thanks Examiner Le for the recognition of the allowability of these claims.

In view of the amendments presented above and the terminal disclaimer submitted concurrently with this Response, Applicant respectfully submits that pending claims 50-78 are all in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests the issuance of a Notice of Allowance for the Subject Application.

### **V. Conclusion**


Accordingly, for at least the reasons set forth herein, the pending claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and allowance of the Subject Application.

The present Response should not be taken as acquiescence to any of the specific rejections, assertions, statements, etc., presented in the Office Action that are has not explicitly addressed herein. Applicant reserves the right to specifically address all such rejections, assertions, and statements in continuing applications, subsequent responses, and/or appeal or pre-appeal proceedings, if necessary.

If the undersigned can be of assistance to the Examiner in addressing any additional issues to advance the application to a condition of allowance, please contact the undersigned at the number set forth below. Please continue to direct all written correspondence for the Subject Application to PPG Industries Inc., Intellectual Property Department, One PPG Place, Pittsburgh, PA 15272.

March 16, 2010  
Date

Respectfully submitted,

  
William E. Kuss  
Registration No. 41,919

K&L GATES LLP  
Henry W. Oliver Building  
535 Smithfield Street  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-2312

Phone: 412.355.6323  
Fax: 412.355.6501

[william.kuss@klgates.com](mailto:william.kuss@klgates.com)